

Artesia FOIA Highlights

Access to Counsel for Individuals in Detention and Undergoing Credible Fear Process

Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-0000006-7	ICE	3/31/1997		Memo regarding the implementation of the credible fear process, explaining the role of consultants and emphasizing that "every effort should be made to lodge or [sic] made aliens subject to expedited removal to facilities where access for consultation can be facilitated. Aliens subject to expedited removal should be allowed flexibility regarding access to representations (whether for individual consultation or group presentations), family members, or friends for consultation purposes."
027-000010	USCIS	9/2/2014	Email from Asylum Division Chief, John Lafferty	Email reminding asylum division staff that the instructions contained in the 1997 memo on the Role of Consultants during credible fear interviews remains in force. This memorandum is described below: USCIS Asylum Division Memorandum dated November 14, 1997 from Chief Joseph E. Langlois on the Role of Consultants during credible fear interviews. "The role of the consultant in the credible fear interview is basically the same as the role of the representative in the affirmative asylum interview." "Only in extremely unusual circumstances should the asylum officer exercise discretion to prevent the consultant from making a statement or comment." "In certain instances, however, it will be appropriate for the consultant to comment during the course of the interview to avoid confusion or misunderstandings. Such comments may be helpful and should not be discouraged."
027-000028	USCIS	9/17/2014	Email from Antonio Donis, former supervising officer at Artesia	Email providing an example of an asylum officer informing an applicant that they had a right to a free attorney. This example included sharing information that the free attorney was available in the law library at the Artesia detention center and that an interview could be postponed to allow the applicant to consult with an attorney.
027-0000066 and 027- 0000092	USCIS	10/27/2014	Emails from Mallory Lynn	Emails reminding asylum officers at Artesia to confirm 2-3 times that the applicant understands that they have the right to have a consultant or attorney present and that they are waiving that right and proceeding with the interview.

027-0000145-148 011-0000001- 0001026-1027	USCIS	[No date included] 7/8/2014	Artesia Daily Operations Standard Operating Procedure, Memorandum on the service of decisions for credible fear interviews	Clearly states that an attorney with a G-28 on file may be present for the service of the decision and should be called and informed of the decision. Makes clear that the asylum office is responsible for serving the result of a credible or reasonable fear interview. Email requesting access to resources and facilities to set up LOP at Artesia.
		Operational (Guidance for DHS Officers	
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-000072-131	DHS	6/4/1999	Deportation Officers'	This manual includes sections on the legal authority for administrative removal, an overview of the process, and detailed enforcement and decision procedures. Further, the manual includes a section on creating and maintaining the record of proceedings, judicial review, and legal issues regarding the nature and sufficiency of evidence.
011-0000132-135	DHS	9/14/2004	ICE Memorandum on Expedited Removal Guidance	Memorandum explaining the expansion of expedited removal to individuals apprehended within 100 miles of a U.S. international land border and who have been present in the U.S. less than 14 days. The memo discusses the parole policy and makes clear that, "[j]uveniles are a special class and must continue to be treated in accordance with the <i>Flores v. Reno</i> settlement and other special laws applicable to juveniles."
011-000001- 0000639-650	DHS	12/15/2014		Attached to this email is a summary of the Tenth Circuit, Asylum and Protection Law Manual Table of Contents, including, Section 24 on Credible/Reasonable Fear, dated July 16, 2014. The summary explains that, "pending the credible fear interview, the alien is to be detained, but parole is possible."
027-0000098-132	USCIS	[No date included]	ZART (Artesia) Daily Operations Standard Operating Procedures	Document with partial redactions based on b(7)(e) and b(5).

DHS-011-000001-841- 872	DHS	10/31/2014	Artesia ICE Office of Chief Counsel Procedures	The Deputy Chief Counsel's responsibilities include: "Coordinating with the Denver immigration court to address issues of mutual interest, including, but not limited to, identification of cases that have not been docketed in a timely manner, identification of last-minute case additions to the court calendar, etc." This document also indicates that OCC Denver has daily access to the immigration court calendar and that the Legal Assistant will forward the next day's court calendar via email to the Artesia Daily Docket group and the AILA point of contact by 4pm. Discusses requests for reconsideration of negative credible fear determinations made with the USCIS asylum office and makes clear that the Deputy Chief Counsel should remind ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations that "it has agreed to place a 'Z' hold on such residents until the AFRC APSO provides notice of his/her decision to accept or deny the motion for reconsideration." Makes clear that an individual should not be removed where the asylum office is considering a request for reconsideration, or, where a request for reconsideration has been granted. Also makes clear that if the asylum office "makes another negative credible fear determination, she will forward her findings to the Immigration Judge for review. This will require ERO to make the resident available for a hearing." This document notes that the "AFRC automatic stay policy for Motions to Reconsider negative credible fear determinations is
011-0000001-000-904- 933	ICE	6/26/2014	Email chain between ICE Office of the Principal Legal Advisor and the DHS Immigration Law Division of the Office of General Counsel	Emails discussing INA Section 235(b)(2)(c). Attached is an excerpt from the 2005 Inspector's Field Manual, 17.15 Expedited Removal, addressing expedited removal. Previous redacted versions of this document were made available through an AILA FOIA, but this version has no redactions, and includes previously unavailable information on claims to U.S. citizenship and lawful
011-0000001-000934- 1020	ICE	11/1/2007	Expedited Removal Training developed by the ICE Office of the Principal Legal Advisor	Powerpoint training on expedited removal, with many redactions pursuant to (b)(6) and (b)(7)(c).
011-0000001-001200- 1214	ICE	3/19/2014	Memo from CBP	Memo on "Implementation of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA), including CBP Interim Guidance on Processing Unaccompanied Alien Children, the UAC A-File Preparation Guide, and ICE Field Guidance for the TVPRA.
011-0000001-001354- 1368	ICE	9/19/2014	Email chain between ICE OPLA and ICE Office of Acquisition Management	Attached to the email is the Family Residential Facility Statement of Work – Draft – for Karnes and Artesia.

011-0000001-5	ICE	1/22/2007	Memo from John P. Torres, ICE Director	Memo regarding Expedited Removal for Salvadoran Nationals and the need to comply with District Judge Margaret M. Morrow's order in the <i>Orantes</i> case. Salvadorans must have read to them in a language they understand, sign, and date the Modified Orantes Advisal and must be given a copy of the legal services list and the
00000011-0000413- 415	ICE	7/12/2014	Forwarded internal ICE email – "Summary of Secretary Johnson's Visit to Artesia"	Email explains ICE's intention to argue judges should deny all bonds because of flight risk.
011-0000001-003610	ICE	8/1/2014	Email sent by Philip T. Miller, Assistant Director for Field Operations, titled "UPDATE: Change in Bond Eligibility for Certain RGV ER Aliens Encountered Outside a POE"	The memo makes clear that "effective immediately, ER aliens encountered between ports of entry will be detained as 'no bond' if they are (1) Other-than-Mexican (OTM) Adults, (2) USCIS has found credible fear and placfed them in INA section 240 proceedings; AND (3) they were encountered in the Rio Grande Valley (RGV) Border Patrol Sector." This amended a prior policy, announced by email on June 6, 2014, also attached, which stated that in light of the <i>Matter of X-K-</i> , 23 I&N Dec. 731 (BIA 2005) decision, undocumented immigrants subject to the 2004 Expedited Removal designation were "subject to mandatory detention and may only be released pursuant to DHS's parole authority under INA Section 212(d)(5)" prior to a positive credible fear determination. Further, after a positive finding of credible fear, until a final removal order, "these aliens are detained under section 236, may be released on bond, and may seek a bond redetermination before an immigration judge."
027-0000334	USCIS	7/7/2014	Email from Patrick R. Curphrey to Amarilde F. Castaldii	This email includes the language: "Positive Services: Audrey had suggested that I try to set up Artesia the same way we did the pilot program officers where ICE serves the positives. We didn't have any positives to serve yet and things were so crazy that I didn't have that conversation with anyone at ICE. So that may be something you still want to do." Second, "Stats: Kaevan Lichine is the SDDO in charge of ERO there and he really needs daily stats"

Information Regarding Requests for Reconsideration and Stays of Removal

Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-0000001-	ICE	10/14/2014	Email chain with ICE	The email chain indicates that after a second credible fear
0000723-726			Office of Chief Counsel in	interview (pursuant to a request for reconsideration under
			Arizona	8 C.F.R. Section 1208.30(g)(2))(iv)(A)), the asylum office
				refers the Records of Negative Credible Fear Findings and
				Request for Review to the appropriate immigration court.
				This is a change from the current policy (which shifted
				around early November 2015) not to refer an individual for
				immigration judge review after a second credible fear
				interview.

011-0000001-	ICE	9/22/2014	Email chain between ICE	Email regarding the informal policy of staying removal for
0000730-733			OPLA, ICE Denver, and	detained families in Artesia who had filed requests for
			ICE Artesia	reconsideration of a negative credible fear determination.
				Jim Stolley, Special Advisor to the Deputy Principal Legal
				Advisor, of OPLA, advised that unless a proper stay request
				is filed and granted, attorneys should be advised that their
				clients can be removed, notwithstanding an outstanding
				request for reconsideration with the USCIS asylum office.
	Information Res	garding the Separation	of Detained Families when a	Child Turns 18 in Detention

Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-00000001-	ICE	10/3/2014	Email titled	This memo makes clear that when a resident child turns 18,
002160-2161			"Maintaining Family	"it has been a long-standing practice in ICE's family
			Unity at Residential	program to allow families with a child who turns 18 to
			Facilities – DQT" from	remain intact at the facility while pending immigration
			"SNADQT" on behalf of	proceedings on a case by case basis, considering the
			the "Chief of Staff	totality of the circumstances "
		Regarding DHS Coope	eration with Consulates to Is	sue Travel Documents
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-00000001-	ICE	7/21/2014	Email chain with To: and	Email chain making it clear that ERO works with the
002162-2164			From: lines redacted,	consulates to push them to issue travel documents (TDs).
			titled "Central America	According to a July 16 email in the chain, Guatemala, for
			Update"	example "will be issuing TDs for 5 family units and will give
				us a few extra TDs in case we need to bump someone for
				legal, medical, etc." Email chain also includes statements
				that one consular official (presumably El Salvador) did not
				issue travel documents because of the individuals
				"expressed fear" and asks that this information be passed
				on to Salvadoran Ambassador to the United States, Mari
				Carmen Aponte

Guidance for Asylum Officers Conducting Credible Fear Interviews

	-	didance for Asylum Offic	ers Conducting Credible Fea	i litter views
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
027-0000151	USCIS	7/31/2014	Email from Mallory Lynn	Email reminding asylum officers to use "plain language"
			to asylum officers	when communicating with applicants and there is a need
				to use language they understand and not terms like
				"persecuted by agents of the government" or "consent or
				acquiescence to your harm."
				,
027-0000154	USCIS	8/6/2014	Email from Mallory Lynn	Email reminding them to use plain language and not to
			to asylum officers	specifically reference legal concepts that applicants may be
				unable to understand. Advises officers not to ask about
				"particular social group." for example.
027-0000213	USCIS	9/10/2014	Email from Renata Penel	Email advising asylum officers to ask a question specifically
			forwarded by Mallory	addressing any past or future harm by family members
			Lynn	during credible fear interviews.
027-0000218-228	USCIS	11/4/2014	Email from Mallory Lynn	Including standard questions asked by asylum officers
			sharing Artesia	during credible fear interviews.
			suggested Credible Fear	
			Interview Template	
			· ·	

027-000303-304	USCIS	5/2/2014	Memo from John Lafferty, Chief of Asylum Division	APSS Release 1.2.0.0 – Electronic APSS Reports, TECS/IBIS Change, New Close Codes, Remote Processing and Non-Detained Case Processing. Details that new "close codes" are included for no shows at non-detained interviews, for where an asylum officer determines that an individual lacks mental capacity to testify on their own behalf, where an interview cannot be conducted because of a medical hold, speaks a rare language and an interpreter cannot be found within 48 hours, and where the applicant is held in state or federal law enforcement custody.
027-0000163-164	USCIS	[No date Included]	APSS Guide: USCIS Asylum Office	III.E.2 Family Members Arriving Concurrently with the Applicant. Gives guidance for asylum officers in conducting credible fear interviews and determining when derivatives may be joined with a principal applicant. This is an attachment to the publicly available June 27, 2014, memo from Asylum Division Chief, John Lafferty, on "Guidance on Immediate Family Members in Credible Fear."
027-0000309	USCIS	6/25/2014	Email from Asylum Division Chief, John Lafferty	Email on the processing of family credible fear cases. He makes it clear that the longstanding policy has been to consider the whole family as a "positive" if one individual establishes a positive credible fear determination. He states, "[t]his process has been in place for many years, though we've had only limited occasion to use it until recently."
027-0001123-24	USCIS	6/25/2014	Email from Ted Kim to Asylum Office Directors and Deputy Directors	Email asking for volunteers to work at the Artesia detention center. He states "Please thank your staff for rising to this immense challenge before us. We are being tested to our limits as a program, and it is reassuring to know that so many are willing to step up to the plate and take their best swings."
011-0000001- 0000795-797	ICE	10/15/2014-10/17/2014		Denver ICE trial attorney appearing before a Denver Judge in a bond proceeding for the Artesia docket asked why USCIS grants credible fear in "gang-based claims." This prompted ICE to reach out to USCIS at Artesia. The email chain then reports back on a meeting with Supervising Officer Mallory Lynn, who explained that USCIS applies the law most favorable to an individual in credible fear cases, including the law in any jurisdiction, and the law of the circuit in reasonable fear cases. When pressed, Ms. Lynn apparently explained that there is no case law that says a gang cannot be a persecutor and that most of the gang-related cases at the Artesia family residential center involve familial relationships and mixed motives.

027-0000271	USCIS	7/30/2014	Email from Antonio	Email with "Essential Questions" that should be asked in
			Donis, Artesia	every credible and reasonable fear interview at Artesia.
			Supervising Officer	These questions include asking the asylum seeker whether
				she is comfortable proceeding without an attorney, with
				her children present, and including "everyone" in the
				mother's case. It also includes the questions – "Towards
				the end, if we are going negative on the principal Does
				anyone else have a fear of returning to? If so, would
				you like to speak with me about it separately or with your
				family in the room?"
027-0000272-275	USCIS	07/17/2014-07/20/2014	Email chain between	This email chain discusses the processing of family cases
			USCIS at Artesia	and discusses at what age a child should be asked if they
			(Antonio Donis), and	want to be included on their mother's claim. Ted Kim states
			headquarters, including	that "this is relatively new ground for us (i.e. interviewing
			Ted Kim and John	detained families en masse) " The email chain began
			Lafferty	with a query from Molly Groom to John Lafferty saying "I
				am hearing that children are not being separately screened
				for asylum claims and that several families have been
				denied credible fear and even deported when the child had
				a very valid and serious claim – without ever having
				interviewed or considered the child's case separately."

Guidance for Asylum Officers Regarding Interpretation During Fear Interviews

Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
027-0000141-144	USCIS	[No date included]	Appendix N to the ZART	This memorandum highlights the importance of effective
			(Artesia) Daily	communication, securing interpretation, lists common
			Operations Standard	indigenous languages, describes contract interpreter
			Operating Procedures,	services (and its limits), and protocol for determining the
			USCIS Artesia Guide for	applicant's best language. The memo recognizes that in
			Rare Languages	some situations an individual will state they are
				comfortable proceeding in Spanish but the officer will note
				a lack of comprehension and require interpretation or case
				closure. If no interpretation is available, guidance for
				closing a case due to rare language is provided.
027-0000289-294	USCIS	9/12/2006	Memo from Joseph	Guidance on using interpreter services other than
			Langlois, Chief of Asylum	Lionbridge when conducting credible fear, reasonable fear,
			Division	and safe third country screening interviews. Emphasizes
				the need to conduct timely interviews and to use another
				contractor when necessary.
	DHS Statistics, Stateme	nt, and Representations re	garding Family Detention	to Congress and International Bodies
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included

001-000471-518	DHS	8/8/2014	DHS Responses to questions from the Committee on the Convention for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination	Pieces of interest related to family detention are: • DHS-001-000494: Noting the policy that "absent extraordinary circumstances or requirements of mandatory detention, ICE policy dictates that individuals should not be detained if they are primary caretakers of children." • DHS-001-000507: Highlights again that ICE policy, per an ICE Directive on Facilitating Parental Interests in the Course of Immigration Enforcement Activities, "discourages the use of detention resources on detainees who can demonstrate that they are primary caretakers of children, absent extraordinary circumstances or a requirement for mandatory detention."
001-000844-849	DHS	[date unclear]		Includes one section on "Immigrant Processing of Unaccompanied Minors and Adults processed for removal. Where detention space is available and appropriate, these adults with children are placed in expedited removal." This makes clear that the presumption is in favor of detention and initiation of expedited removal, as long as bed space is
011-000001- 0000341-344	ICE	11/14/2014	Email exchange between the Deputy Director of Field Operations at ICE Office of Principal Legal Advisor and Special Counsel to the Director of Field Legal	Email discussing the grant rates for detained families. This email states that from July 7, 2014-November 13, 2014, relief was granted in 23 cases at Artesia (out of 1710 removal hearings), in 3 cases at Berks (out of 189 removal hearings), and no grants for relief at Karnes.
011-000001- 0000350-356	ICE	10/28/2014	EOIR Response to Request for Statistics	Grant Rates for Credible Fear Interviews and Vacatur rates, asylum grant rates, and in absentia rates. Indicated that between July 18, 2014 and October 21, 2014, immigration judges at Artesia reversed the asylum office's credible fear determination in 53% of cases.
001-000009-18	DHS	6/19/2014	DHS Responses to Chairman Goodlatte's Letter	Letter (available here: DHS-001-000148-151: House Judiciary Committee Chairman Goodlatte's Letter to DHS Secretary Johnson, June 19, 2014) with responses to the requests, which includes statistics including numbers of unaccompanied minors and families apprehended trying to enter the United States from contiguous and noncontiguous countries for fiscal years 2010-2014, including numbers granted humanitarian parole, placed into removal proceedings, and numbers of individuals who failed to appear at ICE check ins or immigration court hearings.
0001-000272-278	DHS	[No date included]	DHS Briefing Paper on Expedited Removal and Credible Fear	Includes statistics on how many individuals subject to expedited removal were referred for a credible fear interview from 2006-2013 and the top five nationalities referred for a credible fear interview from 2009-the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2014

Agency Materials and Information for Detained Families						
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included		
027-0000168-169	USCIS	[No date included]	USCIS Advisal:	This document describes the parole process and gives		
			Information about How	asylum applicants an understanding of the criteria that will		
			to Seek Release from	be assessed during an ICE interview to determine whether		
			Detention: Parole	the applicant will be released from detention.		
			Eligibility and Process			
			for Certain Asylum			
			Applicants			
011-0000001-001369-	ICE	[No date included]	Artesia Family			
1401			Residential Center			
			Resident Handbook and			
			Informational Pamphlet.			

Agency Discussion of the Flores Settlement Page range **Agency Office** Date Document **Key Information Included** 011-0000001-ICE 6/13/2014 White Paper on This White Paper discusses whether the Department of 0001314-1321 Conditions of Detention Homeland security may deviate from general standards in Family Units reflected in the Flores and Hutto settlements in establishing an interim family facility. The paper provides an assessment of obligations under Flores. Interesting, in footnote 1, the author questions "whether and what portions of the Flores settlement agreement are still applicable to the Department as regards to unaccompanied alien children." This seems to assume, then, that Flores applies to accompanied children, contrary to the government's litigating position in the current Ninth Circuit Flores appeal. All but the first page is redacted pursuant to (b)(5). Document states that: "Allowing detainees to bond out has 011-0000001-ICE [No date included] "Bullet Points for 0001071-1076 Addition to Family indirect yet significant adverse national security Detention Section in consequences as it undermines the integrity of our borders. Update to Flores As described above, migrants already are motivated in part Declaration" by the belief that they will be released from detention." Further, the memo states: "Detention is thus a crucial part of the U.S. government's far-reaching campaign to reduce

the flow of illegal migration from Central America . . . "

Support Specialist on Behalf of the Chief of Staff, DHS, ICE/ERO, San Antonio Field Office Assistant Director for Field Operations, addressed to al Field Office Directors and Deputy Field Office Directors the PHI, SNA, and ELP Field Offices. The memo emphase the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in detention, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or or stationaridate has are at hazare at least in resident and real conditions.	
Staff, DHS, ICE/ERO, San Antonio Field Office Management, with the concurrence of Philip T. Miller, Assistant Director for Field Operations, addressed to al Field Office Directors and Deputy Field Office Directors the PHI, SNA, and ELP Field Offices. The memo emphase the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in detention, but that "there may be instances when afte placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or or	fof
Antonio Field Office Assistant Director for Field Operations, addressed to al Field Office Directors and Deputy Field Office Directors the PHI, SNA, and ELP Field Offices. The memo emphase the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in detention, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or violations.	
Field Office Directors and Deputy Field Office Directors the PHI, SNA, and ELP Field Offices. The memo emphase the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in detention, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in acute resident medical conditions.	
the PHI, SNA, and ELP Field Offices. The memo emphase the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in detention, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or was a support of the provided in	
the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in detention, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in determine the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in determine the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in determine the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in determine the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in determine, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when after the importance and goal of maintaining family unity in determine the include placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the include placement in an acute of the importance in the include placement in an acute of the include placement in acute of	or
detention, but that "there may be instances when after placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when after the placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances includes the placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances includes the placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances includes the placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances includes the placement in the	izes
placement in an FRC, families must be separated due to circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or when the conditions is allegations or physical abuse/violence.	
circumstances beyond ICE's control. These instances include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or violence.	
include, but are not limited to acute resident medical conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or v)
conditions, allegations or physical abuse/violence, or v	
	hen
certain residents become otherwise ineligible for hous	ng in
a residential setting etc." The last sentence of the	
document, which is cut off, says "Thus, in accordance"	/ith
the Flores Settlement Agreement" This indicates tha	the
Assistant Directors for Custody Management and Field	
Operations believed that they are bound by the Flores	
settlement when detaining accompanied minors back in	
November 2014.	

Documents regarding other family detention centers, in Dilley, TX, and Berks County, PA

Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-0000001-001454-	ICE	12/9/2014	Emails about "Pre-	Email includes life, health, and safety concerns that must
1459			Occupancy status" at	be addressed prior to resident admissions.
			Dilley and must-haves	
			before Dilley opens	
011-0000001-001664-	ICE	7/14/2014	Email with names	Email regarding how the National Guard can help with
1666			redacted	Enforcement and Removal Operations at Artesia, but with a
				side conversation by Mike Moore regarding how he is
				speaking with the Berks County Board of Supervisors "to
				shorten the timeline on Berks "

Agency Reaction to Critiques from Advocates						
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included		
011-0000001-002086- 2095	ICE	7/25/2014	Email chain including Adrian Macias (Deputy Assistant Director for Custody Programs), Philip Miller (Assistant Director for ICE-ERO Field Operations, and Marc Moore)	Email discussing the recent "negative statements" by NGO staff who toured the Artesia detention center. Adrian Macias suggests working up an "official response for you to review for the Working Group and hopefully this will help stop further damaging stories and internet postings about the facility." The email attaches a July 24, 2014 press release from AlLA, a Texas Observer article, and a Latin Post article quoting individuals from the Women's Refugee Commission, the National Immigration Law Center, and the ACLU's Immigrants' Rights Project along with the National Immigrant Justice Center.		
027-0001214-1217	USCIS	6/5/2014	Email from John Lafferty	Email affirming that it was "good to talk" and "discuss the Human Rights First Report and our plan to change the Credible Fear and Reasonable Fear QA [Quality Assurance] categories." including a link to the report.		

027-0001218-1219	USCIS	[No date included]	Email chain between Asylum Division Chief John Lafferty and Mariela Melero	Email chain regarding the upcoming changes to the Quality Assurance review of credible and reasonable fear cases. On May 29, 2014, Mr. Lafferty states "Given our experience with the recent update to our Credible Fear Lesson Plan, I thought that it would be good to turn to the public engagement experts to get your thoughts on our proposed course of action before we proceed."
027-0000201	USCIS	7/30/2014		The email states "Please note that this CF case is being submitted as a high profile/publicity case because the attorney of record is an outspoken critic of processing in Artesia and her views are being published on national websites."
			tention/Ankle Monitors an	
Page range	Agency Office	Date	Document	Key Information Included
011-0000001-002121-2122	ICE	6/23/2014	Email from Phoenix Field Office to all Arizona ERO personnel	Email sharing a reminder from Tae D. Johnson, Assistant Director for Custody Management, on Alternatives to Detention in the Rio Grande Valley. This makes clear that all families released from custody must be enrolled in the Alternatives to Detention Program and specifically "in line with standard ATD recommendations all eligible aliens should be considered for Full-Service (FS) component enrollment and assigned a GPS unit initially."
011-0000001-002131- 2132	ICE	11/25/2014	Email from Phoenix Field Office Deputy to Field Office Director	Email sharing a memo from Tae D. Johnson, Assistant Director for Custody Management, on the Rio Grande Valley Alternatives to Detention Pilot Program. This makes clear that individuals can be "disqualified" from the ankle monitor program if they are "verifiably pregnant" or have "significant medical issues." Otherwise, "each HoH [head of household] or other qualifying alien selected will be referred immediately to the contractor onsite for an abbreviated enrollment"